

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F.	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/754,922		01/09/2004	Jeffrey Stavenhagen	11183-004-999 (505421-999	8663
20583	7590	07/13/2006		EXAMINER	
JONES DA			CROWDER, CHUN		
222 EAST 41ST ST NEW YORK, NY 10017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			1644	
				DATE MAILED: 07/13/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• •		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/754,922	STAVENHAGEN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Chun Crowder	1644				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04/15	<u>9/2006</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-83 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-83 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	·				
Applicat	ion Papers						
	•	r					
	The specification is objected to by the Examine		Evaminer				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Infor	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4)	ate atent Application (PTO-152)				

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures..

Art Unit: 1644

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Examiner of this application in the PTO ahs changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Chun Crowder, Group Art Unit 1644, Technology Center 1600.

- 2. Claims 1-83 are pending.
- 3. This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures.

Claim 47-55 recite15 amino acid sequence AVITAG. However, the sequence fails to comply with the Sequence Rules.

Applicant is reminded of the Sequence Rules which require a submission for all sequences of 10 or more nucleotides or 4 or more amino acids (see 37 CFR 1.1821-1.1825) and is also requested to carefully review the submitted specification for any and all sequences which require compliance with the rules.

Applicant must comply with the requirements of the Sequence Rules (37 CFR 1.1821-1.1825) in response to this Office Action.

Art Unit: 1644

4. It is noted that claim 25 and many others are multiple dependent claims. Unless corrected, theses claims will be objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim can not depend from another multiple dependent claim, and these claims will not be further treated on the merits. See MPEP § 608.01(n).

5. Upon further consideration, the restriction requirement, mailed 02/17/2006, is vacated. A new Restriction Requirement is set forth herein. The Examiner apologizes for any inconvenience to applicant in this matter.

Restriction Requirement

- 6. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-19, 25-30, 37-41, and 67-83, drawn to a polypeptide and a composition comprising a variant Fc region, classified in Class 530, subclass 387.3; Class 424, subclass 130.1.
 - II. Claims 20-24 and 42-46, drawn to a nucleic acid encoding a polypeptide comprising a variant Fc region, a vector, a host cell, and a method of recombinantly producing the antibody, classified in Class 536, subclass 23.1; Class 435, subclasses 69.9 and 326.
 - III. Claims 47, 51-55, drawn to a method for producing a tetrameric FcγR complex, classified in Class 530, subclass 350.
 - IV. Claims 48-50, drawn to a tetrameric FcγR complex, classified in Class 435, subclass 69.1.

Art Unit: 1644

V. Claims 31-36 and 56-66, drawn to a method of treating a patient by administering an antibody, classified in Class 424, subclass 141.1.

- 7. Groups I, II, and IV are different products. Polypeptide, nucleic acid and tetrameric FcγR are patentably distinct because their structures, physicochemical properties and/or mode of action are different, and they do not share a common structure that is disclosed to be essential for common utility. Furthermore, they require non-coextensive searches in the scientific literature. Therefore, each product is patentably distinct, and searching of these Inventions would impose an undue burden.
- 8. Groups (II and I) and (III and IV) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)).

In the instant case the antibody in Group I and tetrameric $Fc\gamma R$ in Group IV can be made using an amino acid synthesizer or by various biochemical techniques.

9. Groups I and V are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h).

In the instant case, the polypeptide comprising a variant Fc region in Group I can be used for immunopurification in addition to methods of treating a patient.

Art Unit: 1644

10. These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above. In addition, they have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by different classification and/or recognized divergent subject matter. Further, a prior art search also requires a literature search. It is an undue burden for the examiner to search more than one invention. Therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species Election

- 11. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed inventions:
- 12. <u>If either one of Groups I, II, or V is elected</u>, applicant is further required to elect one specific polypeptide comprising a variant Fc region, wherein the Fc region contains:
 - a) specific IgG isotype (IgG1 as recited in claim 16),
 - b) specific one amino acid substitution at specific position (e.g. valine at position 339 as recited in claim 3),
 - c) applicable functional limitations encompassed by the elected antibody species (e.g. binds FcγRIIIA with greater affinity as recited in claim 2), **AND**
 - d) binds specific antigen (e.g. MAGE-1 as recited in claim 30).

These species are distinct because their structures, physicochemical properties and mode of action are different. Furthermore, the examination of these species would require different searches in the scientific literature. As such, it would be burdensome to search these Species together.

Art Unit: 1644

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species (a, b, c, and d as stated above) for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

13. <u>In addition, if either Group I or V is elected,</u> applicant is further required to elect one specific composition:

- i) without additional agent, **OR**
- ii) with additional agent (e.g. methotrexate as recited in claim 63).

These species are distinct because their structures, physicochemical properties and mode of action are different. Furthermore, the examination of these species would require different searches in the scientific literature. As such, it would be burdensome to search these Species together.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

14. <u>In addition, if Group V is elected,</u> applicant is further required to elect a method of treating <u>one specific disease</u> (e.g. rheumatoid arthritis as recited in claim 59 and pages 144-145 of the instant specification).

These species are distinct because the pathological conditions differ in etiologies and therapeutic endpoints; thus each condition represents patentably distinct subject matter.

Art Unit: 1644

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

15. Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. M.P.E.P. § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103 of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/754,922

Art Unit: 1644

16. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. *Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product* will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Page 8

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. *Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.*

Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Art Unit: 1644

17. Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 18. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently-filed petition under 37 C.F.R. § 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(h).
- 19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chun Crowder whose telephone number is (571) 272-8142. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 am to 5:00 pm. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Chan can be reached on (571) 272-0841. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chun Crowder, Ph.D.

Patent Examiner
June 26, 2006

PHILLIP GAMBEL, PH.D. 3.0.
PRIMARY EXAMINER

721600 6/18/00

stury Gruge